

EDM
45

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at 703 836 2787

Fax Information

Date Received:

2/19/2004 3:53:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time]

Total Pages:

67 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received
Cover
Page
=====>

02/19/2004 15:50 FAX 703 836 2787		OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC	0001
<p>OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC ATTORNEYS AT LAW</p> <p>February 19, 2004</p>		<p>877 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 TELEPHONE: (703) 836-8400 FACSIMILE: (703) 836-2787 E-MAIL: OBLIFF@OLIFF.COM WWW.OLIFF.COM</p>	
<p>U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET</p>			
<p>To: In re the Application of Katsuyuki MORI et al. Application No.: 09/994,816 Filed: November 23, 2001 For: ORGANIC ELECTRO- LUMINESCENT DEVICE, MANUFACTURING METHOD FOR THE SAME, AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT</p>		<p>FOR FILING IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE</p> <p>Group Art Unit: 2879 Docket No.: 111219</p>	
<p>Examiner: Mike Cleveland</p>		<p>Facsimile: (703) 872-9306</p>	
<p>From: Kevin McKinley</p>			
<p>Prepared By: jfb</p>		<p>Number of Pages Sent (including cover sheet): 67</p>	
<p>Comments:</p>			
<p>Attached is a copy of the following documents filed October 1, 2002, for the above-identified application.</p> <p>The following papers are attached:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. FTO date-stamped filing receipt dated 10/01/2002 (1 page) b. Supplemental Preliminary Amendment w/Substitute Abstract and Appendix (8 pages) c. Substitute Specification (23 pages) d. Marked-up copy of Specification (24 pages) 			
<p>Sent by: _____</p>			
<p>This facsimile is intended only for the use of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and contains confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this facsimile is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or telephone, and return the facsimile to us by mail at the above address.</p>			
<p>PAGE 1/67 * RCVD AT 2/19/2004 3:53:02 PM (Eastern Standard Time) * BY TELETYPE UNIT * DMS:3726306 * CNO:703 836 2787 * DURATION (min):15:54</p>			

703 FEB 19 PM 4:10

OFFICE OF THE PTO

PATENT APPLICATION



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Katsuyuki MORII et al.

Group Art Unit: 2879

Application No.: 09/994,816

Examiner: Mike Cleveland

Filed: November 28, 2001

Docket No.: 111219

For: ORGANIC ELECTRO-LUMINESCENT DEVICE, MANUFACTURING
METHOD FOR THE SAME, AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT

**SUPPLEMENTAL PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO
NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.121**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the March 23, 2004 Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment, please note that the Examiner responsible for the above-identified application contacted our offices on February 19, 2004 and indicated that the Supplemental Preliminary Amendment with Substitute Abstract, Appendix, Substitute Specification and marked-up copy of Specification originally filed on October 1, 2002, was missing at the Patent Office. Accordingly, copies of the above-discussed documents were resubmitted by facsimile on February 19, 2004. An Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission received from the Patent Office indicated that the full 67 pages faxed on February 19, 2004 were received by the Patent Office (a copy of Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission attached).

However, the Examiner contacted us to tell us that the copy of the Supplemental Preliminary Amendment resubmitted on February 19, 2004 was not signed. Accordingly, another copy of the Supplemental Preliminary Amendment with Substitute Abstract,

Appendix, Substitute Specification and marked-up copy of Specification was resubmitted by facsimile to the Patent Office on March 10, 2004. Another Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission received from the Patent Office indicated that the full 67 pages faxed on March 10, 2004 were received by the Patent Office (a copy of the second Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission attached).

The original filing of the above-discussed documents was October 1, 2002. The original filing of the Supplemental Preliminary Amendment was before the rule change altering the format for amendments to the specification and claims.

Accordingly, the loss of the Supplemental Preliminary Amendment, Substitute Specification and marked-up copy of Specification was due to a Patent Office error. Further, resubmission of those documents was at the request of the Examiner responsible for the above-identified application. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the attached Supplemental Preliminary Amendment is now in proper format and that the amendments therein are identical to those amendments made in the October 1, 2002 originally-filed paperwork.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.121.

Further, please consider the following:

Amendments to the Specification;

Amendments to the Claims as reflected in the listing of claims; and

Remarks.